



funding by:

Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung



Automotive Trust Models Project SAVE ("Securing Automated Vehicles") Frank Kargl, Ulm University, V1.2 2022-10-12



Securing Automated Vehicles (SAVE) German–Japanese Cooperation



Kickoff WS	WP2 Threat Intelligence									
	WP3 Vehicular Honeypots	NS NS		W۵		WS 3		ŴŚ		Final-WS
	WP4 Platform and Hardware Sec.	1		62				6 4		
	WP5 Security Composition for Automotive Syst. of Syst.									
12/20	Lead: Japan Lead: Germany	07/21		12/21	(04/22		10/22		M24
WP1: WS Organization										

- WP6: Exchange and Dissemination
- WP7: Project Administration

Why Trust Modeling in Automotive SoS?

Due to the complexity of automotive Systems-of-Systems, it is hard to estimate the effects of security vulnerabilities and attacks on all parts of the system.



Trust Modeling can Help Solve Security Problems in SoS

- Many trust-related questions exist in automotive security
 - Can ECU X trust the firmware deployed by OTA update?
 - Can manufacturer M trust library L embedded into a binary B of its firmware F?
 - Can ECU X trust data D reported by Sensor S via CAN-bus B?
 - How will an IDS alarm reduce overall trustworthiness of the system?
 - How will knowledge of a new attack affect trustworthiness of the system?
 - And many more
- In SAVE, we work towards a generic trust management framework to model such trust relationships and reason over them to answer simple questions:
 - Can I interact with another entity in a trustworthy way?
 - Can I trust the data that I have received?

Example Scenario: Parking Assistant



What trust dependencies exist in this scenario?

Subjective Logic Intuition for Binary Domains



 $S \xrightarrow{\omega} x$

S: opinion holder x: proposition / data value ω[S;x]: opinion of S on x b+d+u=1

Example:

 $o = (1,0,0,a) \triangleq$ Boolean True

 $o = (0,1,0,a) \triangleq$ Boolean False

 $o = (0,0,1,a) \triangleq$ Total uncertainty



Audun Jasang Subjective Logic Aformalism for Reasoning Under Internation

Subjective Logic Reasoning

- Rich set of operators:
 - Trust discounting: transitive trust resolution
 - Fusion operators
 - BCF: Belief Constraint Fusion (equivalent to Dempster's Rule)
 - CBF: Cumulative Belief Fusion ("sum the evidence")
 - ABF: Averaging Belief Fusion ("average the evidence")
 - WBF: Weighted Belief Fusion ("confidence-weighted average")
 - CCF: Consensus & Compromise Fusion ("conflict → vagueness")



Example Scenario: Parking Assistant



Can MCU trust Desired Values from Park Assist ECU?



How to use the Subjective Trust Network?



Sources for Initial Opinions

- Where do initial opinions originate from?
 - Misbehavior Detection Systems
 - Intrusion Detection Systems
 - Reputation Management Systems
 - Trusted Execution Environments & Remote Attestation
 - Code Reviews of Software, Static Code Analysis
 - Risk & Safety Analysis Processes & Certification
 - Honeypots and Threat Intelligence
 - Basically, all security & safety processes and mechanisms can contribute to the trust model
 - The trust model unifies and integrates all security-related information in a quantifiable and analyzable way

Where can Trust Models be Useful?

- At design time:
 - Make risk assessment more precise
 - Evaluate effect of attack found in penetration test on overall system
 - Evaluate effect of security mechanisms in the trust model
- At run time:
 - Vehicles in cooperative system can assess trustworthiness of data and decide to rely on it or not (depending on how safety critical application is)
 - An in-vehicle IDS that detects an attack can rely on the trust model to assess the effect on different parts of the system or it can evaluate how effective countermeasure would be



Start Signal

Driver Input

w(DI:start)

w(SC:DI)

immediately and correctly be reflected in the opinion on the desired value.

Currently Finalizing A Prototype to Allow Detailed Experiments





SecForCARs has produced many interesting results!





https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCGwcmqMzUUrfftdyRLQEKiA/

