

Human Factors Breakout session

Opening

Moderator: Satoshi Kitazaki, Ph.D. Assistant: Yanbin Wu, Ph.D. National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology





Human Factors in automated mobility services.



1

Presenters

SIP



- 1. Klaus Bengler, Technical University Munich, Germany
- 2. Daniel McGehee, University of Iowa, US
- 3. Annika Dreßler, DLR, Germany
- 4. Jonas Andersson, RISE, Sweden
- 5. Joanne Harbluk, Transport Canada, Canada
- 6. Naohisa Hashimoto, AIST, Japan
- X All presentations will include a common last page answering the questions listed below.

Question 1: What is the most important research questions/challenges? Question 2: What aspects should/can be internationally standardized?

- X Q&A time will follow each presentation
- Please use the "Q&A function" or "raise hand" to ask question(s)



Human Factors Breakout session

Summary

Moderator: Satoshi Kitazaki, Ph.D. Assistant: Yanbin Wu, Ph.D. National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology

Summary: Research questions/challenges



Question 1: What is the most important research questions/challenges?

> ODD

- Probability of events (i.e. technical reliability).
- Characteristic of events (sensoric, infrastructure, passengers, other road users).
- Complex environment.
- > MRM
- Definition of potential MRMs.
- ≻ HMI
- Optimized HMI on the guidance level.
- Remote operator
- Human reliability in teleoperation.
- Design of work environment, tasks and coordination for Remote Monitor, Assistant and Operator.

> Passengers

- Passenger needs on transit where there is no on-board operator.
- Design process
- Working models for fleet operation.

Complexity

- Many... but my argument here is not to forget the broader systems perspective and how to approach that complexity.
- There is a need to understand and organize the various use cases and address safety considerations.
- > Social/user acceptance
- Managing the public's expectations about what automation means.
 - Automation is greatly overmarketed.
 - ODD is critical to discuss in any public forum.
 - Give examples and be clear that home to work or play automation is decades away.
- How can we use the current possibilities in technology to create efficient mobility offers capable to compete with individual transport?
- · How can we make these offers accessible to all?
- "Acceptance" (Whether User and Residents can accept).
- Different requirements for various transit service models.
- Importance of public acceptance of new mobility technologies.

HF Challenges – my thoughts

SIP



Automated mobility services need to satisfy various aspects of users, service providers and the society.





Summary: International standardization



Question 2: What aspects should/can be internationally standardized?

Positive

> ODD

- Probability of events (i.e. technical reliability).
- Characteristic of events (sensoric, infrastructure, passengers, other road users).

> MRM

- Definition of potential MRMs.
- ≻ HMI
- Optimized HMI on the guidance level.
- Remote operator
- Human reliability in teleoperation.
- Telecommunication
- Requirements for communication vehicles/passengers and control/service center.

Design process

- User-centered design process.
- Working models for fleet operation.
- Validation
- Validation procedures for testing autonomous driving functions.

Negative

- Automated driving is largely not an OEM process as before.
 - Computer and start-up don't play by the same rules as the OEMs.
 - Their work is too proprietary and dynamic (e.g., over air updates) to wait for consensus standards.

*This information will be forwarded to ISO/TC22/SC39/WG8.

Plenary session on November 9

Plenary Session (as of October 15)



General information on automated mobility service trials.

SIP

Presenters

- Jan Hellaker, Chairman, Drive Sweden, Sweden
- Lutz Eckstein, Director, Institute for Automotive Engineering, RWTH Aachen University, Germany
- Katrin Schwager, Project Manager, Innovation and Change, Hamburger Hochbahn AG, Germany
- Shin Kato, Prime Senior Researcher, Human-Centered Mobility Research Center, AIST, Japan
- Daniel McGehee, Professor and Director, NADS, University of Iowa, USA
- Timothy Haile, Executive Director, Contra Costa Transportation Authority, USA
- Habib Shamskhou, President, Advanced Mobility Group, USA
- Jordana Maisel, Assistant Professor, Urban and Regional Planning, University at Buffalo, State University of New York, USA

SIP-adus Workshop 2021

※ Please respond to a survey in your chat window. It will take a minute.
※ Some of the presentation files will be posted in the

SIP-adus Workshop2021 homepage later.

Thank you. Have a good rest of the day or good night!