

Merging Support Service on Expressways

Toshimasa Nakagawa

ITS Division, Road Traffic Department

National Institute for Land and Infrastructure Management, MLIT



- 1. Overview of Merging Support Service on Expressways
- 2. FOTs of Merging Support Service on Expressways
- 3. Effect evaluation experiment of merging support information with driving simulator
- 4. Future issues

Overview of Merging Support Service on Expressways

Merging Support Service (Concept)

 Detecting vehicles in main lane and providing the vehicle information to the merging vehicles via V2I communication



Merging Support Service (DAY1/DAY2)

 There are two merging support services: DAY1 which detects the traffic condition of the main lane in cross section and provides information at the spot, and DAY2 which detects the traffic condition of the main lane in a certain section and provides information by continuous communication

	Detection traffic Situation in main lane	Providing information to merging vehicles	Image
DAY1	Detect the traffic condition of the main lane in cross section	 Provide traffic condition at the spot 	Detect the traffic condition at the spot Condition of the main lane in cross section
DAY2	Detect the traffic condition of the main lane in a certain section	 Provide information by continuous communicatio n 	Detect the traffic condition of the main lane in a certain section Provide information by continuous communication Starting point of acceleration lane

FOTs of Merging Support Service on Expressways

Accuracy Confirmation of Vehicle Detection Sensor (DAY2) (Overview)

• Since it is required to detect a traffic conditions on a main lane accurately, FOT for an accuracy confirmation of a vehicle detection sensor was conducted on NILIM test track.



Accuracy Confirmation of Vehicle Detection Sensor (DAY2) (Result: Speed) Preliminary figure

- Both the upstream side and the downstream side are not satisfied with the required accuracy (Measurement error is less than 0.1km/h).
- The error tends to be larger on the downstream side than on the upstream side.

		Measur	ement erro	(Unit:km/h)				
		Sensor A	Sensor B	Sensor C	Sensor D	Sensor E	Sensor F	Sensor G
Upstream side	Ave.	0.14	0.19	-18.06	-0.02	0.53	0.48	0.85
	Ave. (Absolute)	0.25	1.96	18.40	0.25	1.39	1.39	1.03
	S.D.	0.48	3.05	15.00	0.36	2.02	2.02	1.21
	Ave.	0.02	-1.53	0.12	-0.89	-2.37	-0.40	0.19
Downstream side	Ave. (Absolute)	0.41	2.86	1.99	1.25	5.93	1.13	0.78
	S.D.	1.32	3.27	3.52	1.82	13.08	3.41	1.86

Accuracy Confirmation of Vehicle Detection Sensor (DAY2) (Result: Speed) Preliminary figure

- By vehicle type: There is not clear difference in measurement error.
- By speed: The higher the speed is, the larger the error tends to be.



Accuracy Confirmation of Vehicle Detection Sensor (DAY2) (Result: Speed) Preliminary figure

- By driving pattern: In "passing", "lane change", and "acceleration", the error on the downstream side tends to increase.
- By lane: For lanes, there is no clear difference in measurement error.



"(5) lane change", "(6) short distance", and "(7) acceleration".

Accuracy Confirmation of Vehicle Detection Sensor (DAY2) (Result: Vehicle length) Preliminary figure

- The most accurate sensor is "Sensor F", with a measurement error of about 0.20m.
- In "Sensor B" and "Sensor G", the tendency of measurement error differs between upstream and downstream.

	(Unit:m)							
	Sensor A Sensor B Sensor C Sensor D Sensor E				Sensor E	Sensor F	Sensor G	
Upstream side	Ave.	0.68	-3.04	0.89	-3.04	0.03	0.04	-2.19
	Ave. (Absolute)	1.14	3.06	1.11	3.04	0.24	0.21	2.24
	S.D.	1.55	1.36	1.23	0.98	0.76	0.74	1.86
	Ave.	0.85	0.90	1.47	-0.41	0.03	0.02	0.28
Downstream side	Ave. (Absolute)	0.94	1.63	1.57	0.92	0.24	0.23	1.13
	S.D.	0.92	1.84	1.25	1.11	0.76	0.79	1.70

※ N (number of runs) = 215 (Both upstream and downstream)

Accuracy Confirmation of Vehicle Detection Sensor (DAY2) (Result: Detection range (direction of travel)) Preliminary figure

- Sensors with a narrow detection range (E, F, G) have a measurement error of approximately 1m. On the other hand, sensors with a wide detection range (A, B, C, D) tend to have large measurement errors.
- When it is necessary to detect a section of 100m or more, it is desirable to install multiple sensors.



Accuracy Confirmation of Vehicle Detection Sensor (DAY2) (Result: Detection range (horizontal direction)) Preliminary figure

- The measurement error in the lateral direction is smaller than that in the traveling direction.
- If the experimental section (80m) is exceeded, the measurement error tends to increase.
- When it is necessary to detect a section of 100m or more, it is desirable to install multiple sensors.



Effect evaluation experiment of merging support information with driving simulator

Overview (Purpose)

SIP

• The driver (human) evaluates an effect of merging support information (vehicle information in the main lane) provided by a driving simulator.

Driving simulator used in the experiment (University of Tokyo)



Overview (Case Setting)

- 6 patterns of traffic on the main lane (inter-vehicle time 2 or 3 seconds/ vehicle speed 50, 70, or 90km/h)
- Experimented with two patterns, "without information" and "with voice information (main lane speed)"
- A questionnaire was given to the persons regarding their understanding, tension, and difficulty of main lane vehicle information, and driving simulator (DS) data was acquired.



Results 1-1 (Analysis of Questionnaire for Drivers)

- "Understanding" is greatly improved by the speed information of the main lane, and the evaluation is about 5 (7 grades) for all patterns.
- "Difficulty" is greatly improved (90km/h-2 seconds and 50km/h-3 seconds). It is confirmed the effect of merging support by voice information.
- Persons who are not good at merging on expressways tend to evaluate the merging support information highly regardless of the traffic conditions on the main lane.

Average value of persons who are <u>bad</u> at merging on expressways (N = 10) [7-grade evaluation]

Driving pattern		Degree of un (The higher th higher of und	derstanding e number, the derstanding)	Degree o (The higher the higher	f tension the number, of tension)	Degree of difficulty (The higher the number, the higher of difficulty)		
		Without information	With information	Without information	With information	Without information	With information	
Inter-vehicle	50km/h	3.1	4.8*	4.8	4.2	5.0	4.6	
time	70km/h	3.6	5.1*	4.3	4.0	4.4	3.8	
(2 sec.)	90km/h	2.9	5.2*	4.8	4.3	5.3	4.3*	
Inter-vehicle	50km/h	3.2	5.2*	4.2	3.9	4.5	3.7*	
time (3 sec.)	70km/h	3.7	5.2*	4.0	4.3	3.9	3.5	
	90km/h	2.9	5.3	4.6	3.9	4.5	4.5	

* Values improved by 0.5 points or more compared to "without information" are shown in blue. If there is a 5% significant difference in comparison with "no information" (T test), they are shown in red*.

Results 1-2 (Analysis of Questionnaire for Drivers)

- Persons who are not bad at merging on expressways tend to have lower levels of improvement in degree of understanding, tension, and difficulty than those who are bad at merging on expressways.
- Degree of understanding, tension, and difficulty were improved at the easiest case (3 seconds-50 km/h). Even if the speed is lower than the assumed main line speed (about 70km/h), it is rather difficult to merge, and the effect of voice information was confirmed.

■Average value of persons who are <u>not bad</u> at merging on expressways (N = 10)	[7-grade evaluation]
---	----------------------

Driving pattern		Degree of ur (The higher the hig underst	nderstanding the number, gher of anding)	Degree o (The higher the higher	f Tension the number, of tension)	Degree of difficulty (The higher the number, the higher of difficulty)		
		Without information	With information	Without With information		Without information	With information	
Inter-vehicle	50km/h	4.6	5.1	4.0	4.0	3.6	3.6	
time	70km/h	4.9	5.0	3.5	3.6	3.3	3.4	
(2 sec.)	90km/h	4.3	4.7	3.9	4.1	3.9	4.5	
Inter-vehicle	50km/h	4.0	5.9*	3.3	2.6	3.6	2.3	
time (3 sec.)	70km/h	5.0	5.6	2.8	2.8	2.6	2.6	
	90km/h	4.5	5.3	4.2	3.5*	3.6	^{3.0} 17	

* Values improved by 0.5 points or more compared to "without information" are shown in blue. If there is a 5% significant difference in comparison with "no information" (T test), they are shown in red*.

Result 2-1 (Analysis of Driving Simulator Data)

- Degree of safety was improved in case of "with information" at 70km/h-2 seconds and 90km/h-2 seconds.
- In other cases degree of safety was generally improved. The effect of providing information can be confirmed.

Average valu	e of persons	who are <u>bad</u>	at merging on	express	sways $(N = 10)$	

	Inter-vehicle time (2 sec.)							Inter-vehicle time (3 sec.)					
Evaluation	50km/h		70km/h		90km/h		50km/h		70km/h		90km/h		
Item	Witho ut	With	Witho ut	With	Witho ut	With	Witho ut	With	Witho ut	With	Witho ut	With	
TTC*	29.95	25.74	14.55	44.04	4.76	10.76	16.03	20.77	74.52	50.37	12.25	15.39	
Merging speed	48.35	49.55	62.28	64.77	66.97	73.89	53.76	47.84	63.47	62.68	66.72	70.47	
Axel operation amount	0.50	0.45	0.65	0.68	0.78	0.79	0.62	0.42	0.62	0.71	0.73	0.77	
Deceleration	-0.028	-0.043	-0.011	-0.026	-0.012	-0.013	-0.038	-0.020	-0.016	-0.012	-0.014	-0.008	
	ireen: Saf	ety impro	ved, but r	no significa	ant differe	ence Blue	e: Safety i	improved,	, and sign	ificant diff	erence		

* TTC (Time-To-Collision): The collision margin time, and the larger it is, the higher the safety.

Result 2-2 (Analysis of Driving Simulator Data)

- Degree of safety was improved in case of "with information" at 90km/h-3 seconds. degree of safety was generally improved and the effect of providing information can be confirmed.
- On the other hand there is no improvement in degree of safety at "with information" at 50km/h-2 seconds and 70km/h-3 seconds. It is considered that the difference between the traffic conditions imaged from the voice information and those experienced affected the driving.

	Inter-vehicle time (2 sec.)							Inter-vehicle time (3 sec.)					
Evaluation	50km/h		70km/h		90km/h		50km/h		70km/h		90km/h		
ltem	Witho ut	With	Witho ut	With	Witho ut	With	Witho ut	With	Witho ut	With	Witho ut	With	
TTC*	77.74	19.50	8.10	12.74	3.72	5.22	31.35	68.86	62.08	19.68	5.12	56.12	
Merging speed	45.08	44.79	55.98	59.48	58.73	62.23	49.87	45.04	57.94	58.46	60.66	62.08	
Axel operation amount	0.58	0.49	0.67	0.69	0.72	0.78	0.58	0.47	0.71	0.74	0.79	0.80	
Deceleration	-0.011	-0.009	-0.006	-0.011	-0.007	-0.012	-0.010	-0.019	-0.013	-0.014	-0.007	-0.008	

■ Average value of persons who are <u>not bad</u> at merging on expressways (N = 10)

SIP

Green: Safety improved, but no significant difference **Blue**: Safety improved, and significant difference * TTC (Time-To-Collision): The collision margin time, and the larger it is, the higher the safety.



Future issues

Future issues to be examined

- It is important to Improve an accuracy of merging support services. For that purpose, it is important to make merging support service more concretely.
- Further Accuracy confirmation of vehicle detection sensor
 - By long-term FOT on expressways
- Consideration of a concept of merging support service
 - Specifications of merging support service (DAY2)
- Examination of places where there is a need for merging support services
 - From the viewpoint of road structure

SIP From the viewpoint of traffic condition on a main lane

Thank you for your kind attention.